PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND

PROPERTY LTD. Attn. Fenster, Paul P.O. Box 10256 49002 Petach Tikva ISRAEL	THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION			
	(PCT Rule 44.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 03/06/2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below			
414/04400				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)			
PCT/IL2005/000135	(day/month/year) 04/02/2005			
Applicant				
REABILITY INC.				
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herewi	report and the written opinion of the International Searching th.			
	mally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.			
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fa For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the according to the second secon	ascimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35			
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the in	n report will be established and that the declaration under national Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.			
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition				
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.				
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.				
4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau the completion of the technical preparations for internation.	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international Bureau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, respectively,			
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of international preliminary examination report has been or is to be the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the price	of such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to			
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of so examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Of	entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed			
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 month months.	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19			
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the apparage of the WIPO internet site.	plicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's			

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2

Authorized officer

Eva San Miguel

0 7 -06- 2005

NL-2280 HV Rijswijk 7 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, - Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000135

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)	
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:	
1. X Claims Nos.: 36-46 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Rule 39.1(iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by	
therapy	
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:	
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).	
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)	
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:	
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.	
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.	
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:	
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is	
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220	
414/04400	ACTION		as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No.	International filing date (day/mont	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)	
PCT/IL2005/000135	04/02/2005 05/02/2004			
Applicant				
DESCRIPTION TO				
REABILITY INC.				
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being to			nority and is transmitted to the applicant	
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsh	eets.		
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document of	cited in this	report.	
	international search was carried out less otherwise indicated under this i		sis of the international application in the	
The international	search was carried out on the basis		ation of the international application furnished to	
this Authority (Ru	,	e disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.	
	and unsearchable (See Box II).		.,	
2. X Certain claims were fou	ind unsearchable (See Box 11).			
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).			
4. With regard to the title ,				
	ubmitted by the applicant.			
the text has been establis	shed by this Authority to read as folk	ows:		
5. With regard to the abstract,				
· ·	ubmitted by the applicant.			
X the text has been establis	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by	this Authori	ty as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant	
may, within one month fro	om the date of mailing of this interna	ational sear	ch report, submit comments to this Authority.	
6. With regard to the drawings,				
a. the figure of the drawings to be	published with the abstract is Figure	No. 4		
X as suggested by	• •			
l	is Authority, because the applicant t			
	is Authority, because this figure bet	ter characte	erizes the invention.	
b none of the figures is to b	e published with the abstract.			

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/IL2005/000135

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

Apparatus for rehabilitating a patient who has a paretic body part (146), the apparatus comprising:

- a) at least one electromyography (EMG) sensor adapted to being applied to a voluntary muscle of a healthy body part of the same type as the paretic body part, which at least one sensor produces at least one EMG signal;
- b) a neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) device (126) adapted for stimulating at least one voluntary muscle of the paretic body part; and
- c) a controller (124) which controls the NMES device, making the amplitude of stimulation of the paretic body part at least partly dependent on the EMG signal from the healthy body part.

In another embodiment, where only a paretic body part is used, the amplitude of stimulation is not sufficient to cause contraction by itself but only together with the patient's own nerve impulses.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/IL2005/000135

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61N1/36 A61B5/0488

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) $IPC\ 7\ A61N\ A61B$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAJ

C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	WO 02/092164 A (FONDATION SUISSE POUR LES CYBERTHESES; BRODARD, ROLAND; CLAVEL, REYMON) 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21)	1-16,18, 19,23-30
Α	page 14, paragraph 8 - page 15, paragraph 3 page 17, paragraph 7 - page 18, paragraph 2 page 18, paragraph 5 page 19, paragraph 4 - page 20, paragraph 5; figure 1	17, 20-22,31

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
 Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed 	 "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. "8" document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search 23 May 2005	Date of mailing of the International search report 03/06/2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Bijswijk Tel. (±31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (±31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Küster, G

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/IL2005/000135

	PC1/1L2005/000135
	Relevant to claim No.
Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, or the relevant passages	TIOOVAIL TO GIAINI NO.
US 4 582 049 A (YLVISAKER ET AL) 15 April 1986 (1986-04-15)	1-3, 8-10, 14-17
column 2, lines 25-35	4-7, 11-13, 18-31
column 2, line 51 - column 3, line 26 column 4, lines 17-43 column 5, lines 33-50; figure 1	
GRAUPE D: "EMG PATTERN ANALYSIS FOR PATIENT-RESPONSIVE CONTROL OF FES IN PARAPLEGICS FOR WALKER-SUPPORTED WALKING" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 36, no. 7, 1 July 1989 (1989-07-01), pages 711-719, XP000037463	1,3, 8-10,14, 16,17,19
page 711, left-hand column, paragraph 1 - right-hand column, paragraph 1; figures 3,5 page 716, left-hand column, paragraph 1	31
WO 02/13673 A (STIMEL LTD; KOTLIK, BEN-ZION; ZUKER, MORRIS) 21 February 2002 (2002-02-21)	31-35
page 10, lines 25-32 page 11, line 30 - page 12, line 11 page 12, line 25 - page 13, line 1; figure	1
PEASGOOD W ET AL: "EMG-controlled closed loop electrical stimulation using a digital signal processor" ELECTRONICS LETTERS, IEE STEVENAGE, GB, vol. 36, no. 22, 26 October 2000 (2000-10-26), pages 1832-1833, XP006015871 ISSN: 0013-5194 page 1832, left-hand column, paragraph 1; figure 1	31-35
page 1833, right-hand column, paragraph 1	
	column 2, lines 25-35 column 2, lines 51 - column 3, line 26 column 4, lines 17-43 column 5, lines 33-50; figure 1 GRAUPE D: "EMG PATTERN ANALYSIS FOR PATIENT-RESPONSIVE CONTROL OF FES IN PARAPLEGICS FOR WALKER-SUPPORTED WALKING" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON BIOMEDICAL ENGINEERING, IEEE INC. NEW YORK, US, vol. 36, no. 7, 1 July 1989 (1989-07-01), pages 711-719, XP000037463 ISSN: 0018-9294 page 711, left-hand column, paragraph 1 - right-hand column, paragraph 1; figures 3,5 page 716, left-hand column, paragraph 1 WO 02/13673 A (STIMEL LTD; KOTLIK, BEN-ZION; ZUKER, MORRIS) 21 February 2002 (2002-02-21) page 10, lines 25-32 page 11, line 30 - page 12, line 11 page 12, line 25 - page 13, line 1; figure 1 PEASGOOD W ET AL: "EMG-controlled closed 1 loop electrical stimulation using a digital signal processor" ELECTRONICS LETTERS, IEE STEVENAGE, GB, vol. 36, no. 22, 26 October 2000 (2000-10-26), pages 1832-1833, XP006015871 ISSN: 0013-5194 page 1832, left-hand column, paragraph 1; figure 1

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/IL2005/000135

Patent do cited in sea		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
WO 0209	2164 A	21-11-2002	CA WO EP JP US	2446875 02092164 1387712 2004526541 2004172097	A2 A2 T	21-11-2002 21-11-2002 11-02-2004 02-09-2004 02-09-2004	
US 4582	049 A	15-04-1986	DE FR GB	3516279 2580934 2175504	A1	13-11-1986 31-10-1986 03-12-1986	
WO 0213	673 A	21-02-2002	AU EP WO US	8007001 1311320 0213673 2003208246	A2 A2	25-02-2002 21-05-2003 21-02-2002 06-11-2003	

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers;
 claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- fWhere originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
 "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

it must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.